Appendix A



Summary of Outstanding Audit Recommendations and Levels of Assurance – Quarter 4 2013-14

	Chief Executives			Resources & Support Services			Regeneration & Development Services			Operational Services		
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Jan	Feb	Mar	Jan	Feb	Mar	Jan	Feb	Mar
Total number of Recommendations	22	22	22	106	108	95	29	40	41	92	92	73
Number of Recommendations Outstanding as at the end of month	2	2	4	17	11	11	3	3	10	33	9	6
% Implemented as at end of month	88	88	80	80	87	87	81	73	38	51	86	89
% Overdue for implementation as at the end of the monthe	12	12	20	20	13	13	19	27	62	49	14	11
No of recommendations with target date changed > 2	0	0	0	6	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
High Risk recommendations with target date changed > 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Medium Risk recommendations with target date changed > 2	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Chief Executives		Resources & Support Services			Regeneration & Development Services			Operational Services			
Low Risk recommendations with target date changed > 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall Assurance Level	Sub	Sub	Sub	Sub	Sub	Sub	Ltd	Ltd	Little	Ltd	Sub	Sub
Annual Audit Days for Directorate*	50		189			80			112			
Total number of audit reviews for the Directorate*	5		22			11			9			

* In addition to the audit days per directorate there are 99 days identified for Corporate Reviews – these being reviews that span across all services. There are 13 reviews that are undertaken corporately.

Opinions are classified as;

Full	The Internal Audit did not reveal any control weaknesses based on the samples at the time of the audit	94% - 100%
Substantial	The Internal Audit identified areas that required necessary action to avoid exposure to significant risk	70% - 93% or target changed > 2 on medium risk recommendations
Limited	The Internal Audit identified areas where it was imperative to act to avoid exposure to risk	50% - 69% or target changed > 2 on high risk recommendations
Little	The Internal Audit identified very little evidence of key controls being in place or a repetition of evidence that known action has not taken place to avoid exposure to high risk i.e.: as identified in previous audits. This exposes the Council to high risks that should have been managed.	Below 50%

Full assurance can be given where the Council achieves 94% of all recommendations implemented as the agreed performance measure for 2013-14.

Where target dates for the implementation of recommendations are changed or renegotiated we cannot give our full assurance. If the ongoing risk was considered as;

High Risk:(action that is considered imperative to ensure that the authority is not exposed to high risks; (Implemented within 1 month))

Medium Risk: (action that is considered necessary to avoid exposure to significant risks: (Implemented within 3 months))By changing the date the risk is not being managed and therefore you may wish to seek additional assurance as to the security of the controls in place.